

the species than at the time of critical habitat designation, be more specific about the extent of habitat protection necessary for recovery.

We also intend to redesign other aspects of the process for designating critical habitat. We encourage comments on how economic analyses can evolve into a streamlined and cost-effective process. We also solicit comments on how NEPA compliance, when required, may be conducted in a simple and efficient manner. Completing programmatic assessments and analyses, for example, may be an efficiency mechanism. Perhaps multispecies/geographic species groupings to reduce and eliminate administrative redundancy should be more common. We request comments and suggestions relative to how we can effectively streamline the process and specifically whether and how our existing regulations might or should be changed to accomplish this. We also request comments and suggestions on possible legislative corrections that might improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the critical habitat process.

Public Comments Solicited

We intend that any actions resulting from this notice and subsequent proposed guidance be as accurate and as effective as possible. Therefore, we solicit any suggestions from the public, concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, environmental groups, industry, commercial trade entities, or any other interested party concerning any aspect of this notice. We will take into consideration any comments and additional information received and will announce proposed guidance after the close of the public comment period and as promptly as possible after all comments have been reviewed and analyzed. We will make available for your review and comment any critical habitat guidance, policy, or regulatory changes that are developed.

Executive Order 12866 requires each agency to write regulations/notices that are easy to understand. We invite your comments on how to make this notice easier to understand including answers to questions such as the following: (1) Are the requirements in the notice clearly stated? (2) Does the notice contain technical language or jargon that interferes with the clarity? (3) Does the format of the notice (grouping and order of sections, use of headings, paragraphing, etc.) aid or reduce its clarity? (4) Is the description of the notice in the "Supplementary Information" section of the preamble helpful in understanding the notice?

What else could we do to make the notice easier to understand?

References Cited

- National Research Council. 1995. Science and the Endangered Species Act. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. 271 pp.
 Sidle, J.G. 1987. Critical Habitat Designation: Is it Prudent? Environmental Management 11(4):429-437.

Authority: The authority for this notice is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*

Dated: May 3, 1999.

Jamie Rappaport Clark,

Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 99-15080 Filed 6-11-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

General Management Plan, Environmental Impact Statement, Chiricahua National Monument, Arizona

AGENCY: National Park Service.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for the General Management Plan, Chiricahua National Monument.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, the National Park Service is preparing an environmental impact statement for the General Management Plan for Chiricahua National Monument. This statement will be approved by the Regional Director, Intermountain Region. The plan is needed to guide the protection and preservation of the natural and cultural environments considering a variety of interpretive and recreational visitor experiences that enhance the enjoyment and understanding of the park resources.

The effort will result in a comprehensive general management plan that encompasses preservation of natural and cultural resources, visitor use and interpretation, roads, and facilities. In cooperation with local and national interests, attention will also be given to resources outside the boundaries that affect the integrity of park resources. Alternatives to be considered include no-action, the preferred alternative, and other alternatives addressing the following:

To clearly describe specific resource conditions and visitor experiences in various management units throughout the park and

To identify the kinds of management, use, and development that will be

appropriate to achieving and maintaining those conditions.

Ongoing scoping was started with an Environmental Assessment process in 1992. A list of topics considered is available upon request from the park. Comments on this notice must be received by July 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Superintendent Alan Cox Chiricahua National Monument, Dos Cabezas Rt., Box 6500 Willcox, AZ 85643-9737 (520) 824-3560.

Dated: June 7, 1999.

Ron Everhart,

Regional Director, Intermountain Region.

[FR Doc. 99-14969 Filed 6-11-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

General Management Plan, Environmental Impact Statement, Fort Bowie National Historic Site, Arizona

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for the General Management Plan, Fort Bowie National Historic Site.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, the National Park Service is preparing an environmental impact statement for the General Management Plan for Fort Bowie National Historic Site. This statement will be approved by the Regional Director, Intermountain Region.

The plan is needed to guide the protection and preservation of the natural and cultural environments considering a variety of interpretive and recreational visitor experiences that enhance the enjoyment and understanding of the park resources.

The effort will result in a comprehensive general management plan that encompasses preservation of natural and cultural resources, visitor use and interpretation, roads, and facilities. In cooperation with local and national interests, attention will also be given to resources outside the boundaries that affect the integrity of park resources.

Alternatives to be considered include no-action, the preferred alternative, and other alternatives addressing the following questions:

To clearly describe specific resource conditions and visitor experiences in various management units throughout the park and

To identify the kinds of management, use, and development that will be appropriate to achieving and maintaining those conditions.

Ongoing scoping was started with an Environmental Assessment process in 1992. A list of topics considered is available upon request from the park. Comments on this notice must be received by July 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact Superintendent Alan Cox Fort Bowie National Historic Site, Dos Cabezas Rt., Box 6500 Willcox, AZ 85643-9737 (520) 824-3560.

Dated: June 7, 1999.

Ron Everhart,

Regional Director, Intermountain Region.

[FR Doc. 99-14970 Filed 6-11-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before June 5, 1999.

Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW, NC400, Washington, DC 20240. Written comments should be submitted by June 29, 1999.

Carol D. Shull,

Keeper of the National Register.

ALASKA

Fairbanks North Star Borough-Census Area

F.E. Company Dredge No. 2, Fairbanks Creek, Fairbanks vicinity, 99000763

ARKANSAS

Pulaski County

Hopkins—Grace House, 1310 Summit, Little Rock, 99000764

CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles County

Los Altos Apartments, 4121 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, 99000765

COLORADO

Denver County

Rocky Mountain Bank Note Company Building, 1080 Delaware St., Denver, 99000766

FLORIDA

Indian River County

Indian River County Courthouse, 2145 14th Ave., Vero Beach, 99000768

Orange County

Polasek, Albin, House and Studio, 633 Osceola Ave., Winter Park, 99000767

MAINE

Cumberland County

Prince, Cushing and Hannah, House, 189 Greely Rd., Yarmouth vicinity, 99000772

Hancock County

Church of Our Father, ME 3, 0.5 mi. No of Crooked Rd., Hulls Cove, 99000770

Lincoln County

Jefferson Town House, Jct. of ME 126 and ME 213, Jefferson vicinity, 99000771

York County

Libby's Colonial Tea Room, Jct. of US 1 and Harrisecket Rd., Wells vicinity, 99000769
St. Peter's By-The-Sea Protestant Episcopal Church, 529 Shore Rd., Cape Neddick vicinity, 99000773

Minnesota

Becker County

Graystone Hotel, 119 Pioneer St., Detroit Lakes, 99000774

Montana

Flathead County

Cattle Queen Snowshoe Cabin (Glacier National Park MPS), McDonald Subdistrict, West Glacier vicinity, 99000778
Coal Creek Patrol Cabin (Glacier National Park MPS), US 2, West Glacier vicinity, 99000777

Glacier County

Kootenai Creek Snowshoe Cabin (Glacier National Park MPS), Flattop Mtn., along Kootenai Creek, St. Mary vicinity, 99000775

Sun Camp Fireguard Cabin (Glacier National Park MPS), Going-to-the-Sun Rd., St. Mary vicinity, 99000776

North Carolina

Cumberland County

Fayetteville Downtown Historic District, Roughly along Hay, Person, Green, Gillespie, Bow, Old, W. Russell and Cool Spring Sts., Fayetteville, 99000779

Oregon

Linn County

Aegerter, David and Maggie, Barn (Barns of Linn County, Oregon MPS), 41915 Ridge Dr., Scio vicinity, 99000780
Cochran, William, Barn (Barns of Linn County, Oregon MPS), 28485 Brownsville Rd., Brownsville vicinity, 99000782
Macpherson, Hector and Margaret, Barn (Barns of Linn County, Oregon MPS), 29780 Church Dr., Albany vicinity, 99000781
Milde, Gottlieb and Della, Barn (Barns of Linn County, Oregon MPS), 36898

Northern Dr., Brownsville vicinity, 99000785

Ryan, Michael and Mary, Barn (Barns of Linn County, Oregon MPS), 40363 Huntley Rd., Scio vicinity, 99000784

Smith, James Alexander, and Elmarion, Barn and Lane—Smith House (Barns of Linn County, Oregon MPS), 28020 Powerline Rd., Halsey vicinity, 99000783

Tennessee

Hamilton County

Stone Fort Land Company Historic District, 10th, Newby, E. 11th and Market Sts., Chattanooga, 99000786

West Virginia

Monongalia County

Hackney House, 89 Kingwood St., Morgantown, 99000789

Preston County

Virginia Furnace, WV 26, along Muddy Creek, Albright vicinity, 99000790

Wisconsin

Marathon County

Wright, Duey and Julia, House, 904 Grand Ave., Wausau, 99000787

Rock County

Fulton Street Historic District, Along Fulton St., roughly bounded by Main and Albion Sts.; 11-21 Swift St., Edgerton, 99000788

[FR Doc. 99-15017 Filed 6-11-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Bay-Delta Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) will meet to discuss key issues in addressing CALFED critical issues, focusing on Finance, Governance and Restoration Coordination. There will also be a site tour of the southern California Water Reclamation facilities and a tentatively scheduled evening reception. This meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements to the BDAC or may file written statements for consideration.

DATES: The Bay-Delta Advisory Council will tour southern California Water Reclamation facilities on Thursday, July 8, 1999. The tour will run from 1:30 p.m.-5 p.m. leaving from and returning to the Town and Country Resort and Hotel. The evening reception, if held, will be on July 8, 1999 at the Town and Country Resort and Hotel. BDAC will meet from 8:30 a.m.-5 p.m. on Friday,